

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/530,061	09/01/2000	Tsugunori Notomi	201487/1020	7501
7:	590 01/11/2002			
Dennis M Connolly			EXAMINER	
Nixon Peabody Clinton Square			CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
PO Box 1051 Rochester, NY 14603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1655	16
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2002	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/530,061

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit

1655

Notomi et al.



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
There reject allow	REPLY FILED <u>Dec 18, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for rance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
aj	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ex ap se	ctensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ctension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally to in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	$ ot\!$
(b)	🕱 they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: The amended claims, especially the phrase, "anneal to one another to form a third loop" raise new issue that
	would require further consideration and search and they raise the issue of new matter.
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
<i>5.</i> 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. 🔀	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \bowtie request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As the amendment was not entered because of the lack of written descriptive support of formation of third loop, the arguments in favor of allowance of the claims were not addressed.
7. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 29-50
9 . 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on a) \Box has b) \Box has not been approved by the Examiner.
o . 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
1. 🗆	Other: JEFFREY FREDMAN
	PRIMARY EXAMINER